# SALT PURIFICATION BY RECRYSTALLIZATION. APPLICATION OF THE HOMOGENEOUS DISTRIBUTION LAW

Benitto MAYRHOFER<sup>a</sup> \* and Jaroslav NývLT<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Chemical Technology, 166 28 Prague 6 and <sup>b</sup> Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 160 00 Prague 6

Received May 26th, 1986

In a recently published series of papers on mathematical modelling of salt purification by recrystallization, the microcomponent distribution was assumed to follow the homogeneous distribution law  $\overline{Y} = k_H \overline{X}$ , where  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are the mean masses of the microcomponent *per* unit mass of the macrocomponent in the liquid and solid phases, respectively, and  $k_H$  is the analytically determined distribution coefficient of the microcomponent. From the physical point of view, it is more rigorous to assume the validity of an equation of the form  $Y = k_H^* X$ , where Y and X are the masses of the microcomponent *per* unit mass of the macrocomponent at the surface of the solid phase and in the solution, respectively, and  $k_H^*$  is the homogeneous distribution coefficient relating to the equilibrium between the microcomponent and macrocomponent in the solution and at the crystal surface (whereas the analytically determined  $k_H$  relates to the equilibrium with respect to the bulk of the crystals). This paper presents a simple relationship between the empirical coefficients of the two equations, permitting the recrystallization process to be described by means of the original simple equation using analytically determined component concentrations.

In crystallization of salts from multicomponent systems, microcomponents always become entrapped in the macrocomponent crystals. This is an undesirable phenomenon because of increasing requirements for the product purity. Crystal contamination can arise from a number of causes: the adherence of the mother liquor to the crystal surface; incorporation of the microcomponent into the crystal lattice, which may occur by various mechanisms<sup>1-3</sup>; and enclosure of the contaminated solution in the bulk of the crystal. Although different mechanisms may operate, the microcomponent distribution can frequently be approximated by the so-called homogeneous distribution law\*\*

$$Y = k_{\rm H}^* X , \qquad (1)$$

where  $X = (w_2/w_1)_1$  and  $Y = (w_2/w_1)_c$  are the masses of the microcomponent (2) per unit mass of the macrocomponent (1) in the liquid phase and at the surface of the solid phase, respectively, and  $k_{\rm H}^*$  is the homogeneous distribution coefficient.

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: Chemoprojekt, Department 0320, Prague 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup> If the relative mole fractions are used in place of X and Y in Eqs (1) and (2), the coefficients  $k_{\rm H}^{\rm H}$  and  $k_{\rm H}$  have the same numerical values.

In previous papers<sup>4-8</sup> we developed mathematical models of salt purification by recrystallization. The microcomponent distribution was formally described in terms of Eq. (1) in the form

$$\overline{Y} = k_{\rm H} \overline{X} , \qquad (2)$$

where  $\overline{X}$  and  $\overline{Y}$  are the mean masses of the microcomponent *per* unit of the macrocomponent in the liquid and solid phases, respectively, at the equilibrium temperature of crystallization. Equation (2) is strictly true only if the empirical parameter  $k_{\rm H}$ is determined under the same conditions (especially the concentrations and the parameters of the mass balance) as those of the operating crystallizer. If this is not the case, the reliability of the models is reduced. The aim of the present work is to overcome the limitation imposed by this approximation by finding a transformation equation

$$k_{\rm H} = k_{\rm H}(k_{\rm H}^*) \tag{3}$$

which would permit correction of the experimentally determined parameter  $k_{\rm H}$  to that for different conditions, *i.e.* for different initial and/or equilibrium macrocomponent concentration in the system, thereby increasing the reliability of the application of the models derived previously<sup>4-8</sup>.

## THEORETICAL

We shall derive transformation equations (3) for a well stirred continuous crystallizer and a batch crystallizer.

For a well stirred continuous crystallizer operating in a steady-state regime, we have

$$\overline{X} = X, \quad \overline{Y} = Y,$$
 (4)

and hence we obtain the trivial solution

$$k_{\rm H} = k_{\rm H}^* \,. \tag{5}$$

A more complicated situation is found with a batch crystallizer, where the compositions of both phases are functions of time. The mass balance for the microcomponent over the time interval  $\langle 0, t_c \rangle$ , where  $t_c$  is the batch time, yields

$$w_{o1}X_{o} = (w_{o1} - w_{f1}) \overline{Y} + w_{f1}X_{f}, \qquad (6)$$

where  $w_{o1}$  and  $w_{f1}$  are the macrocomponent concentrations in kg/kg of solvent at the beginning and at the final temperature of crystallization, respectively;  $X_o$  and  $X_f$  are the masses of the microcomponent *per* unit mass of macrocomponent in the

Collection Czechoslovak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 52] [1987]

liquid phase at the beginning and the end of crystallization, respectively; and  $\overline{Y}$  is the mean mass of the microcomponent *per* unit mass of macrocomponent in the solid phase. On the basis of Eq. (2), we can write

$$\overline{Y} = k_{\rm H} \overline{X}_{\rm f} = k_{\rm H} X_{\rm f} \,. \tag{7}$$

The mass balance equation for the microcomponent on a batch crystallizer at time t (expressed with respect to 1 m<sup>3</sup> of solvent) is

$$\dot{m}_{c}Y(t) + \varrho_{sol} d(w_{1}X)/dt = 0, \qquad (8)$$

where  $\dot{m}_{c}$  is the amount of macrocomponent which crystallizes in unit time from unit volume of solvent, and  $\varrho_{sol}$  is the solvent density. The product  $\dot{m}_{c}Y(t)$  is the rate of increase of the microcomponent amount (*per* 1 m<sup>3</sup> of the solvent) in the crystal mass. The second term in Eq. (8) represents the accumulation of the microcomponent in solution.

From Eq. (8), we obtain

$$Y dm_{c} + \rho_{sol}(X dw_{1} + w_{1} dX) = 0.$$
(9)

The mass balance equation for the macrocomponent at time t (expressed with respect to  $1 \text{ m}^3$  of the solvent) is of the form

$$m_{\rm c} = \varrho_{\rm sol}(w_{\rm o1} - w_{\rm 1}) .$$
$$dm_{\rm c} = -\varrho_{\rm sol} dw_{\rm 1} . \tag{10}$$

Combining Eqs (9) and (10) and integrating within the limits  $\langle w_{o1}, w_{f1} \rangle$  and  $\langle Y_o, Y_f \rangle$ , we get

$$\ln (w_{o1}/w_{f1}) = -\int_{Y_0}^{Y_f} (Y-X)^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}X \,. \tag{11}$$

Taking into account Eq. (1), we can rewrite Eq. (11) as

$$\ln(w_{o1}/w_{of}) = (1 - k_{\rm H}^{*})^{-1} \ln(Y_{\rm f}/Y_{\rm o})$$

or

Hence,

$$Y_{\rm f} = Y_{\rm o} (w_{\rm o1}/w_{\rm f1})^{1-k_{\rm H}\bullet}$$

Since, on the basis of Eq. (1),

$$Y_{o} = k_{\rm H}^* X_{o} ,$$

it follows that

$$Y_{\rm f} = k_{\rm H}^* X_{\rm o} (w_{\rm o1} / w_{\rm f1})^{1 - k_{\rm H}^*}.$$
 (12)

Equation (1) may also be written in the form

$$X_{\rm f} = Y_{\rm f} / k_{\rm H}^*$$
 (13)

Using Eqs (7), (12), and (13), Eq. (6) can be transformed to the form

$$(w_{o1}/w_{f1})^{k_{H}*} = (w_{o1}/w_{f1} - 1) k_{H} + 1$$
(14)

$$k_{\rm H}^* = \ln \left[ \left( w_{\rm o1} / w_{\rm f1} - 1 \right) k_{\rm H} + 1 \right] / \ln \left( w_{\rm o1} / w_{\rm f1} \right) \tag{15a}$$

or, alternatively,

$$k_{\rm H} = \left[ (w_{\rm o1}/w_{\rm f1})^{k_{\rm H}\bullet} - 1 \right] / (w_{\rm o1}/w_{\rm f1} - 1) \,. \tag{15b}$$

Equations (14) and (15) are the final forms of transformation equation (3) for a well stirred batch crystallizer. The  $k_{\rm H}(k_{\rm H}^*)$  dependence expressed by Eq. (14) or (15) is represented in Fig. 1 for various values of  $w_{\rm o}/w_{\rm f}$ . The graph is confined to the range of validity of the model of recrystallization realized in a countercurrent cascade<sup>4</sup>, *i.e.* to  $w_{\rm o}/w_{\rm f} \ge 2$ .

### EXPERIMENTAL

Calculation of the Distribution Coefficient from Experimental Data for a Batch Crystallizer

A saturated solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Al(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in distilled water was prepared in a laboratory crystallizer at  $T_0 = 60^{\circ}$ C and then cooled down to  $T_f = 20^{\circ}$ C. Before crystallization the salt contained  $y_0 = 7.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$  Fe<sup>2+</sup>. The separated product crystals contained  $y_f = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$  Fe<sup>2+</sup>. The quantities  $y_0$  and  $y_f$  are the mass fractions of the microcomponent before and after crystallization, respectively. The solubilities have been tabulated<sup>9</sup> as  $w_0(60^{\circ}$ C) = 0.5217 kg of hydrate/kg of free solvent, and  $w_f(20^{\circ}$ C) = 0.1107 kg of hydrate/kg of free solvent. From the definitions of the concentrations, it follows that

$$\overline{Y}_{o} = y_{o}/(1 - y_{o})$$
  

$$\overline{Y}_{f} = y_{f}/(1 - y_{f}).$$
(16)

The mass balance equation for the microcomponent in a batch crystallizer (Eq. (6)) can be rearranged to give

$$\overline{X}_{f} = \left[ w_{o} \overline{Y}_{o} - (w_{o} - w_{f}) Y_{f} \right] / w_{f} .$$
<sup>(17)</sup>

On the basis of Eq. (2), we can write

$$k_{\rm H} = \overline{Y}_{\rm f} / \overline{X}_{\rm f} \,. \tag{18}$$

From Eqs (16) to (18), we obtain  $k_{\rm H} = 0.039$ . In our case, the distribution coefficient  $k_{\rm H}$  was determined for a batch crystallizer with  $w_{\rm o}/w_{\rm f} = 4.71$ .

Correction of the Distribution Coefficient to That for Different Conditions

In the preceding section, we determined the distribution coefficient for  $w_0/w_f = 4.71$ . Now we shall calculate its value for changed conditions,  $w_0/w_f = 3.0$ .

From Eq. (14) with  $k_{\rm H} = 0.039$  and  $w_{\rm o}/w_{\rm f} = 4.71$ , we find  $k_{\rm H}^* = 0.0872$ . Substituting this value and the new ratio  $w_{\rm o}/w_{\rm f} = 3.0$  into Eq. (15) yields  $k_{\rm H} = 0.050$ . With this value of  $k_{\rm H}$  and the ratio  $w_{\rm o}/w_{\rm f} = 3.0$ , we can apply the models derived previously<sup>4-8</sup>.

Calculation of the Distribution Coefficient  $k_{\rm H}^*$  from Experimental Data for a Well Stirred Continuous Crystallizer

A solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Al(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> saturated at 35°C was cooled in a laboratory continuous crystallizer to 25°C. Before and after crystallization the salt contained  $y_0 = 7.8 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ Fe}^{2+}$  and  $y_f =$ = 3.1 · 10<sup>-5</sup> Fe<sup>2+</sup>, respectively. In solubility tables<sup>9</sup> we find  $w_0(35^{\circ}\text{C}) = 0.1932$  and  $w_f(25^{\circ}\text{C}) =$ = 0.1342 kg of hydrate/kg of free solvent. The values of  $\overline{Y}_0$  and  $\overline{Y}_f$  are obtained from Eq. (16). The mass balance equation for the microcomponent on the continuous crystallizer, coupled with Eqs (4) and (5), yields

$$k_{\rm H}^* = \frac{w_{\rm f} Y_{\rm f}}{w_{\rm o} \overline{Y}_{\rm o} - (w_{\rm o} - w_{\rm f}) \overline{Y}_{\rm f}} = 0.314$$

Since Eq. (5) is valid, the coefficient  $k_{\rm H}^{\pm}$  need not be corrected if the continuous crystallization is operated at different values of  $w_0$  and  $w_{\rm f}$ . If, however, data from a continuous crystallizer are to be applied to batch crystallization, recalculation using Eq. (15) is necessary. When applying



Fig. 1

The  $k_{\rm H}(k_{\rm H}^{*})$  dependence for various concentration ratios  $w_0/w_{\rm f}$ . The figure on each curve indicates the relevant  $w_0/w_{\rm f}$  value

### Salt Purification by Recrystallization

data obtained in this way, we must bear in mind that, under otherwise the same conditions, the coefficients  $k_{\rm H}$  and  $k_{\rm H}^{\star}$  depend largely on the cooling rate. When the previously derived models<sup>4-8</sup> are used, it is therefore advisable to determine the coefficient  $k_{\rm H}$  or  $k_{\rm H}^*$  under conditions close to those of the actual operational regime and to use Eq. (14) or (15) only to correct the value for a different  $w_0/w_f$  ratio.

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS

- distribution coefficient of microcomponent determined analytically from phase comk<sub>H</sub> positions
- k# homogeneous distribution coefficient
- $m_{c}$ mass of suspension
- instantaneous specific output of crystallizer m<sub>c</sub>
- t time
- t<sub>c</sub> T batch time
- temperature, °C
- concentration of macrocomponent, kg/kg of solvent
- mass of microcomponent per unit mass of macrocomponent in the liquid phase
- $\frac{w_1}{X}$ mean mass of microcomponent per unit mass of macrocomponent in the liquid phase
- Y mass of microcomponent per unit mass of macrocomponent at the surface of the solid phase
- $\overline{Y}$ mean mass of microcomponent per unit mass of macrocomponent in the bulk of the solid phase
- mass fraction of microcomponent in the solid phase v
- solvent density, kg m<sup>-3</sup> Q sol

### Subscripts

- 1 macrocomponent
- 2 microcomponent
- 0 at the start of crystallization
- f at the end of crystallization

### REFERENCES

- 1. Novobilský V., Nývlt J., Jäger L.: Chem. Prum. 18, 14 (1968).
- 2. Nývlt J.: Solid-Liquid Phase Equilibria. Academia, Prague and Elsevier, Amsterdam 1977.
- 3. Nývlt J.: Industrial Crystallization from Solutions. Butterworths, London 1971.
- 4. Mayrhofer B., Mayrhoferová J., Neužil L., Nývlt J.: This Journal 51, 2489 (1986).
- 5. Mayrhofer B., Neužil L., Nývlt J., Mayrhoferová J.: Chem. Prum. 36, 232 (1986).
- 6. Mayrhofer B., Mayrhoferová J., Neužil L.: This Journal 51, 2502 (1986).
- 7. Mayrhofer B., Mayrhoferová J., Neužil L., Nývlt J.: This Journal 51, 2481 (1986).
- 8. Mayrhofer B., Neužil L., Mayrhoferová J., Rashkovskaya N. B., Bejček V.: Zh. Prikl. Khim. No. 9, 2121 (1986).
- 9. Broul M., Nývlt J., Söhnel O.: Solubility in Inorganic Two-Component Systems. Academia, Prague and Elsevier, Amsterdam 1981.

Translated by M. Škubalová.